

TalkingPoints:

How Market Participants Found Light With Commodity Indices in a Dark Decade



Jodie Gunzberg Global Head of Commodity and Real Asset Indices S&P Dow Jones Indices

To many market participants, it may seem like a "lost decade" for passive commodity indexing. After all, the most widely-recognized passive commodity index, the S&P GSCI (TR), lost about 10% annualized over the past 10 years. It is not the most impressive statistic, and what is worse is that the drop was not from a one-time crisis event. It was the result of an ongoing saga of dismal fundamental factors like slowing Chinese demand growth and an oversupply of oil from OPEC and U.S. producers, in conjunction with a range of unfavorable macro factors like a strong U.S. dollar, low interest rates, low inflation, and low growth.

However, as with all crises, opportunities arise. In the last decade commodity indexing has not only survived, but grown, with approximately 1,000 new headline commodity indices launching according to internal estimates. Over 80% of these indices launched between 2013 and 2015 during the first consecutive 3-years of negative commodity returns in history dating back to January 1970. This uptick in index creation reflects the market's appetite for modified indices that may help manage risk.

1. What attracted market participants to commodities a decade ago and are those qualities still desirable?

Inflation protection and diversification to traditional assets like stocks and bonds with equity-like risk and return originally drew market participant interest that held strongly until the global financial crisis. The demand for commodities to provide inflation protection still holds; however, the demand for commodities as a diversifier has diminished in the past decade. There is a wide perception today that commodities have become highly correlated with other risky assets, since stocks and commodities fell together from July 2008 to March 2009, and more recently,

early this year. It begs the question, how does a substantial simultaneous drop in risky assets affect diversification? The real problem is that stocks have had a major bull run, while commodities have dropped. So it's not necessarily diversification that is the issue, but rather the negative commodity returns.

2. Is it fair to say that the commodity decline in the past decade hurt the case for using commodities for diversification?

Traditionally, assets that are good diversifiers have low correlation. Although the correlation between commodities and other assets has remained low, whether commodities diversify well is debatable.

On average in the past 10 years, the 90-day rolling correlation between the S&P 500 and S&P GSCI has been 0.35, and is now at 0.45; which is still generally low. Even though in the time following the global financial crisis, when correlation peaked at 0.71, it reverted back to average levels in about six months, allowing the long-term correlation to stay low through the past decade. While diversification holds by the correlation metrics, the problem for many investors is that the risk reduction from the low correlation is no longer enough to overcome the return loss to improve the Sharpe Ratio in a portfolio of stocks and bonds. From January 2006 to December 2015, the Sharpe Ratio of a 60/40 stock/bond mix was 0.46 with an annualized return of 4.3% and annualized risk of 9.2%. By replacing 10% of stocks with commodities, the risk was reduced to 9.0%, but the Sharpe Ratio also fell to 0.45 from the return that dropped to 4.2%. It has been challenging for commodities to maintain their status as a good diversifier with this underperformance.

3. Then how are market participants getting their inflation protection without diminishing their risk-adjusted return profile in their portfolios?

The good news is that with slight modifications to the <u>S&P GSCI</u>, market participants can not only maintain diversification, but they can improve their Sharpe ratios. The evolution of commodity indices was probably accelerated in the past decade from the unfavorable market situation that was dominated by contango, a losing condition resulting from large excess inventories. Energy was most affected by the contango from the glut of expensive-to-store inventory that made it the worst-performing sector over the past 10 years. So, one immediate remedy was to reduce the energy weight from the roughly 70% that resulted from the world production weighting scheme. The <u>Dow Jones Commodity Index</u> (DJCI), which is equally weighted, has just one-third of its weight in energy, and that improved the Sharpe ratio of the aforementioned mix to 0.54 by reducing the annualized risk to 8.8% and improving the annualized return to 4.9%.

Also, in response to the contango, many advanced rolling strategies have been developed that use contracts with later expirations to minimize the negative impact of contango, improve index performance, and reduce risk. For example, substituting 10% of stocks with the S&P GSCI Dynamic Roll, which selects optimal contracts every month, can illustrate how the Sharpe ratio of 0.46 from the 60/40 stock/bond mix improved to 0.56. It also reduced the annualized risk to 8.7% and improved the annualized return to 5.0%. Not only have modified weights and rolls been developed to address the abysmal long-only commodity index performance, but the modifications have also been combined intelligently to maximize the long-only index performance. More commonly known as smart beta, the Dow Jones RAFI Commodity Index applies all the modifications, designed to give market participants the most potent payoff in the universe of long-only indices. In the last decade, market participants would have significantly increased their Sharpe ratio from 0.46 to 0.67 by moving from the 60/40 stock/bond mix to the 50/40/10 stock/bond/smart beta commodity mix. Again, not only did the annualized risk drop notably from 9.2% to 7.7%, but the annualized return improved from 4.3% to 5.2%.

4. With all the complex combinations of modified weights and rolls, is commodity indexing still considered passive?

Not all commodity indices today use well-known passive characteristics like long-only, nearby most liquid contracts that are world-production weighted across several commodities. However, commodity indices can use more unique rules and still be considered passive if they deliver the five components of return from commodities as an asset class: the Treasury bill rate, risk premium, rebalancing, convenience yield, and expectational variance. Indices that construct and calculate

with a passive and specified method, consider only exchange-traded futures contracts on physical commodities, assume only long positions, and collateralize each position fully may deliver all the components of commodity returns and be considered passive.

5. Have market participants accepted the passive enhancements in the face of the challenging economic environment for commodities?

Many market participants making long-term strategic commodity allocations appreciate the new developments in passive indices that can provide inflation protection and diversification in more difficult times. However, a growing number of market participants are seeing a different kind of opportunity from these indices that is perhaps more tactical in this volatile time. They may be designing their own alpha exposures from beta by using long and short forward indices with different expiration dates to create calendar spreads. Other market participants are using single-commodity indices that include 100% of only one commodity, like crude oil or gold, to express their views, and they may even double down by using a leveraged version or bet against the commodity with an inverse index. Still others are using well-diversified long/short indices to capture momentum, possibly reduce their risk to beta exposure, and get more diversification.

6. What do you see as the new frontier for commodity index-based investing in the next decade?

It depends on the environment we are heading into. If growth and inflation rates rise, that could be quite favorable for traditionally passive commodities. Still, the darkness of the past decade in commodities looms, so market participants may be wary of the risk that commodities might not protect them in crisis times. For the market participants in this camp, risk management is the key. They are more likely to use the long/short strategies, or to reduce risk even further, they may choose some of the latest instruments that are multi asset. For example, commodity futures have been combined in risk-weighted, strategic futures indices with other futures spanning equities, currencies, fixed income, and short-term interest rates that go long or short based on momentum rules to provide diversification. On the inflation front, commodity futures have been mixed with global stocks and bonds of natural resources, properties, infrastructure, and inflation-linked bonds to provide more comprehensive inflation protection and also greater diversification. These multi-asset strategies are on the cutting edge of asset allocation for the risk management required in the aftermath of the crisis times of the last decade.

Source: S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC.

PERFORMANCE DISCLOSURE

The S&P GSCI was launched on May 7, 2007. The Dow Jones Commodity Index (DJCI) was launched on October 26, 2011. The S&P GSCI Dynamic Roll was launched on January 26, 2011. The Dow Jones RAFI Commodity Index was launched on September 10, 2014. All information presented prior to an index's Launch Date is hypothetical (back-tested), not actual performance. The back-test calculations are based on the same methodology that was in effect on the index Launch Date. Complete index methodology details are available at www.spdji.com.

S&P Dow Jones Indices defines various dates to assist our clients in providing transparency. The First Value Date is the first day for which there is a calculated value (either live or back-tested) for a given index. The Base Date is the date at which the Index is set at a fixed value for calculation purposes. The Launch Date designates the date upon which the values of an index are first considered live: index values provided for any date or time period prior to the index's Launch Date are considered back-tested. S&P Dow Jones Indices defines the Launch Date as the date by which the values of an index are known to have been released to the public, for example via the company's public website or its datafeed to external parties. For Dow Jones-branded indices introduced prior to May 31, 2013, the Launch Date (which prior to May 31, 2013, was termed "Date of introduction") is set at a date upon which no further changes were permitted to be made to the index methodology, but that may have been prior to the Index's public release date.

Past performance of the Index is not an indication of future results. Prospective application of the methodology used to construct the Index may not result in performance commensurate with the back-test returns shown. The back-test period does not necessarily correspond to the entire available history of the Index. Please refer to the methodology paper for the Index, available at www.spdji.com for more details about the index, including the manner in which it is rebalanced, the timing of such rebalancing, criteria for additions and deletions, as well as all index calculations.

Another limitation of using back-tested information is that the back-tested calculation is generally prepared with the benefit of hindsight. Back-tested information reflects the application of the index methodology and selection of index constituents in hindsight. No hypothetical record can completely account for the impact of financial risk in actual trading. For example, there are numerous factors related to the equities, fixed income, or commodities markets in general which cannot be, and have not been accounted for in the preparation of the index information set forth, all of which can affect actual performance.

The Index returns shown do not represent the results of actual trading of investable assets/securities. S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC maintains the Index and calculates the Index levels and performance shown or discussed, but does not manage actual assets. Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the Index or investment funds that are intended to track the performance of the Index. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause actual and back-tested performance of the securities/fund to be lower than the Index performance shown. As a simple example, if an index returned 10% on a US \$100,000 investment for a 12-month period (or US \$10,000) and an actual asset-based fee of 1.5% was imposed at the end of the period on the investment plus accrued interest (or US \$1,650), the net return would be 8.35% (or US \$8,350) for the year. Over a three year period, an annual 1.5% fee taken at year end with an assumed 10% return per year would result in a cumulative gross return of 33.10%, a total fee of US \$5,375, and a cumulative net return of 27.2% (or US \$27,200).

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

Copyright © 2016 by S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC, a part of S&P Global. All rights reserved. Standard & Poor's ®, S&P 500 ® and S&P ® are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC ("S&P"), a subsidiary of S&P Global. Dow Jones ® is a registered trademark of Dow Jones Trademark Holdings LLC ("Dow Jones"). Trademarks have been licensed to S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. Redistribution, reproduction and/or photocopying in whole or in part are prohibited without written permission. This document does not constitute an offer of services in jurisdictions where S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC, Dow Jones, S&P or their respective affiliates (collectively "S&P Dow Jones Indices") do not have the necessary licenses. All information provided by S&P Dow Jones Indices is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity or group of persons. S&P Dow Jones Indices receives compensation in connection with licensing its indices to third parties. Past performance of an index is not a guarantee of future results.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class represented by an index is available through investable instruments based on that index. S&P Dow Jones Indices does not sponsor, endorse, sell, promote or manage any investment fund or other investment vehicle that is offered by third parties and that seeks to provide an investment return based on the performance of any index. S&P Dow Jones Indices makes no assurance that investment products based on the index will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC is not an investment advisor, and S&P Dow Jones Indices makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any such investment fund or other investment vehicle. A decision to invest in any such investment fund or other investment set forth in this document. Prospective investors are advised to make an investment in any such fund or other vehicle only after carefully considering the risks associated with investing in such funds, as detailed in an offering memorandum or similar document that is prepared by or on behalf of the issuer of the investment fund or other vehicle. Inclusion of a security within an index is not a recommendation by S&P Dow Jones Indices to buy, sell, or hold such security, nor is it considered to be investment advice.

These materials have been prepared solely for informational purposes based upon information generally available to the public and from sources believed to be reliable. No content contained in these materials (including index data, ratings, credit-related analyses and data, research, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P Dow Jones Indices. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P Dow Jones Indices and its third-party data providers and licensors (collectively "S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties") do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content. THE CONTENT IS PROVIDED ON AN "AS IS" BASIS. S&P DOW JONES INDICES PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

S&P Dow Jones Indices keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P Dow Jones Indices may have information that is not available to other business units. S&P Dow Jones Indices has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

In addition, S&P Dow Jones Indices provides a wide range of services to, or relating to, many organizations, including issuers of securities, investment advisers, broker-dealers, investment banks, other financial institutions and financial intermediaries, and accordingly may receive fees or other economic benefits from those organizations, including organizations whose securities or services they may recommend, rate, include in model portfolios, evaluate or otherwise address.